Nudel Modulates Kinetochore Association and Function of Cytoplasmic Dynein in M Phase
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The microtubule-based motor cytoplasmic dynein/dynactin is a force generator at the kinetochore. It also transports proteins away from kinetochores to spindle poles. Regulation of such diverse functions, however, is poorly understood. We have previously shown that Nudel is critical for dynein-mediated protein transport, whereas mitosin, a kinetochore protein that binds Nudel, is involved in retention of kinetochore dynein/dynactin against microtubule-dependent stripping. Here we demonstrate that Nudel is required for robust localization of dynein/dynactin at the kinetochore. It localizes to kinetochores after nuclear envelope breakdown, depending mostly (~78%) on mitosin and slightly on dynein/dynactin. Depletion of Nudel by RNA interference (RNAi) or overexpression of its mutant incapable of binding either Lis1 or dynein heavy chain abolishes the kinetochore protein transport and mitotic progression. Similar to mitosin RNAi, Nudel RNAi also leads to increased stripping of kinetochore dynein/dynactin in the presence of microtubules. Taking together, our results suggest a dual role of kinetochore Nudel: it activates dynein-mediated protein transport and, when interacting with both mitosin and dynein, stabilizes kinetochore dynein/dynactin against microtubule-dependent stripping to facilitate the force generation function of the motor.

INTRODUCTION

The cytoplasmic dynein/dynactin complex constitutes a microtubule (MT) minus end-directed motor that functions in a wide variety of cell activities requiring MT-based motility (Hirokawa, 1998; Dujardin and Vallee, 2002; Cleveland et al., 2003; Vallee et al., 2004). Dynein contains two heavy chains (DHC), three to four intermediate chains (DIC), four light intermediate chains, and several light chains (Hirokawa, 1998). Dynactin is an accessory complex critical for processivity of dynein (King and Schroer, 2000). It contains an actin-like minifilament backbone for association with other cellular structures. The backbone is linked through the p50 subunit (dynamitin) to a flexible projecting sidearm for interaction with dynein (Hirokawa, 1998). Overexpression of p50 disrupts the integrity of dynactin and has thus been widely used to inactivate dynein (Echeverri et al., 1996; Burkhardt et al., 1997).

Dynein plays multiple roles in mitosis. A portion of dynein/dynactin is located to the kinetochore, a three-layer proteinous organelle on chromosome responsible for MT attachment and chromosome segregation. Kinetochore-associated dynein drives poleward chromosome movement and contributes to tension generation across sister kinetochores (Cleveland et al., 2003; Maiato et al., 2004). Recently dynein has been shown to transport outer kinetochore proteins including Mad2, BubR1, Bub1, and mitosin (also named CENP-F) to spindle poles along MTs (Howell et al., 2001; Yan et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2003). Because spindle checkpoint proteins controlling the timing of anaphase onset such as Mad2 and BubR1 are also removed from kinetochores, the transport contributes to inactivation of the checkpoint (Howell et al., 2001; Cleveland et al., 2003). In addition, dynein also mediate transport of NuMA to spindle poles for proper spindle organization (Meredes et al., 2000).

Mammalian NudE/NudE-like (Nudel) and Lis1 are originally proposed to regulate dynein in neuronal migration (Wynshaw-Boris and Gambello, 2001) but seem widely involved in dynein functions. Nudel is essential for dynein-mediated transport of both proteins and membrane cargos. The interactions of Nudel with both Lis1 and dynein appear critical for dynein activity (Yan et al., 2003; Liang et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2006). Lis1 is kinetochore-associated in M phase. Interrupting Lis1 or NudE function causes spindle and mitotic defects presumably by interfering with dynein (Faulkner et al., 2000; Feng and Walsh, 2004). Dynein, Lis1, and Nudel are essential for cell viability (Wynshaw-Boris and Gambello, 2001; Sasaki et al., 2005), whereas NudE knockout mice are viable (Feng and Walsh, 2004).
Molecular connections between dynein and other kinetochore proteins are intricate. The Rod/ZW10/Zwilch complex anchors dynactin to the kinetochore through ZW10-p50 interaction (Karess, 2005). Dynactin in turn recruits dynein through the interaction between its p150glued subunit and DIC (Hirokawa, 1998). Lis1 interacts with p50 as well as several dynein subunits. It is targeted to the kinetochore in a dynein/dynactin-dependent manner and contributes to kinetochore localization of CLIP-170, an MT plus end–binding protein (Coquelle et al., 2002; Tai et al., 2002). Lis1 competes with p150glued for binding CLIP-170 (Lansbergen et al., 2004). In the absence of kinetochore-bound Lis1, localization of CLIP-170 is probably mediated through p150glued (Tai et al., 2002). We have previously shown that overexpression of a Lis1-binding–defective Nudel impairs dynein-mediated kinetochore protein transport (Yan et al., 2003). Nevertheless, whether Nudel affects dynein function at the kinetochore and what is its connection with aforementioned proteins there remain unclear.

Kinetochore dynein/dynactin is expected to undergo complicated regulations. To transport proteins away, the motor must dissociate from the kinetochore upon MT attachment. Protein cargos to be transported must bind dynein/dynactin and dissociate from kinetochores as well. In fact, many outer kinetochore proteins, including dynein/dynactin, are subjected to MT-dependent stripping (King et al., 2000; Hoffman et al., 2001), a poorly investigated phenomenon probably reflecting collective effects of protein deprivation by both the poleward transport and occupation of binding sites by MTs. On the other hand, to drive poleward chromosome movement and contribute to tension generation (Cleveland et al., 2003), it must exhibit sufficient affinity to the kinetochore. Nevertheless, little is known about mechanisms balancing both types of functions.

Our previous results suggest that mitosin can prevent dynein/dynactin from premature MT-dependent stripping (Yang et al., 2005). In mitosin-depleted cells, kinetochore localization of dynein/dynactin is markedly reduced only in the presence of MTs (Yang et al., 2005). Consistently, mitosin-depleted kinetochores exhibit reduced tension (Bomont et al., 2005; Holt et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2005). Nudel in our hands was isolated as a mitosin-associated protein in yeast two-hybrid screen (Ma et al., 2006). In this report, we show that Nudel is a kinetochore protein important for dynein function and localization at the site. Moreover, it is recruited to kinetochores by mitosin and thus links mitosin to dynein motor. Our results provide initial insights into regulation of dynein dynamics at the kinetochore.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plasmid Constructs

Plasmids for GFP or FLAG-tagged Nudel, its mutants, NudE, ZW10, and mitosin were previously described (Yan et al., 2003; Liang et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2005). To express RFP-tagged Nudel or mutant, the GFP coding region was replaced with mRFP cDNA provided by Dr. E. Fuchs (Rockefeller University). To express H2B-GFP, the full-length histone 2B1 cDNA fragment from Dr. W.-M. Yang, National Chung Hsing University, Taiwan, China) was cloned into pEeGFP-N1 (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA). In pEeGFP-N50 was made from pUHD30F-p50 (Liang et al., 2004). To construct plasmids for RNA interference (RNAi), oligos containing complementary hairpin sequences were synthesized and cloned into pTER vector as suggested (van de Wetering et al., 2003). One resultant plasmid, pTER-Nudl, contained a sequence that has been successfully used for knocking down Nudel (Kops et al., 2003). The third one, pTER-Luci, contained the sequence 5′-GGATGAAGCAAGAGATTTA-3′ (Tai et al., 2002) and was cotransfected with pEeGFP-Nudel or pEeGFP-Nudel per dish for 48 h. To repress endogenous proteins, cells were transfected for 48 h and then incubated again with 8 μg plan for an additional 72 h. For live cell microscopy, 0.04 μg of pEeGFP-H2B was cotransfected at the second round. To construct plasmids for an additional 72 h. For live cell microscopy, 0.04 μg of pEeGFP-H2B was cotransfected at the second round.

Antibodies and Immunoblotting

Antibodies to Dic and α-tubulin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Anti-p150glued, mitosin, and BubR1 mAbs were from BD Transduction Laboratories (San Diego, CA). Rabbit anti-Nudel and Lis1 antibodies were kindly provided by Dr. L.-H. Tsai (Harvard Medical School). Rabbit anti-NeuMA antibody was a gift from Dr. D. A. Compton (Dartmouth Medical School). Chicken anti-Nudel IgY and anti-NudE IgY were prepared by using bacterially expressed Nudel and NudE as antigens. Polyclonal antibodies to CENP-E, CLIP-170, and the CREST antigen were gifts from Drs. T. Yen (Fox Chase Cancer Center), N. Galjart (Erasmus University, The Netherlands), and K. H. Choo (Royal Children’s Hospital, Australia), respectively. Antibodies against human ZW10 and Rod were provided by Dr. G. Chan (University of Alberta, Canada). All the antibodies were verified by immunoblotting before use (data not shown). Secondary antibodies labeled with Alexa 488, 546, and 647 were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA).

Fluorescence Staining and Microscopy

Kinetochore staining was performed as described (Howell et al., 2001) with minor modifications. Briefly, HEK293T cells grown on pollysine-coated coverslips were extracted with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 40 s and immersed in 3.7% fresh formaldehyde in PHEM buffer for 15 min at room temperature. To disassemble MTs, cells were treated with nocodazole (10 μg/ml) for 1 h before fixation. Proper antibody combinations were used for multicolor staining. All primary and secondary antibody incubations were performed at 37°C for 30 min. Green fluorescent protein (GFP) or red fluorescent protein (RFP) fusion proteins were visualized directly through the autofluorescence. Nuclear DNA was stained with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). For chromosome spread preparation, cells were treated with nocodazole (5 μg/ml) for 3 h and then incubated in 75 mM KCl for 15 min, followed by centrifugation (Zhu, 1999) and fixation.

Confocal microscopy was performed with the Leica SP2 system using a Leica HCX HEP APO 16×/1.4 objective (Deerfield, IL). Images were acquired using four-line mean averaging protocol. Each Z-series typically contained 10–15 μm-thick slices total stack depth of ~5 μm. Z-stack images were formed by maximum intensity projections. Other images were captured using a cooled CCD camera (SPOT II, Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI) on Olympus BX51 microscope (Melville, NY). Grayscale images were converted to color ones using Confoal Assistant (Bio-Rad, CA) or Adobe Photoshop (San Jose, CA). Illustrations were organized using Adobe Photoshop.

Quantitation for kinetochore fluorescence intensities was done as described (Hoffman et al., 2001). To reduce influences of quenching and different spatial distribution, only kinetochores showing brighter staining than most of the remaining ones were quantitated in each cell. The average intensity from control cells was set at 10, whereas that of experimental cells was made proportional to this value to obtain the relative intensity. Statistic data were obtained in a blind manner whenever possible and presented as mean ± SEM.

Live Cell Microscopy

HEK293T cells were grown on glass coverslips and cultured in Leibovitz’s (L-15)-based medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Time-lapse microscopy was performed at 37°C using a Leica system with HCX PL APO 63×/1.4 objective. Images were acquired using four-line mean averaging protocol. Each Z-series typically contained 10–15 μm-thick slices total stack depth of ~5 μm. Z-stack images were formed by maximum intensity projections. Other images were captured using a cooled CCD camera (SPOT II, Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI) on Olympus BX51 microscope (Melville, NY). Grayscale images were converted to color ones using Confoal Assistant (Bio-Rad, CA) or Adobe Photoshop (San Jose, CA). Illustrations were organized using Adobe Photoshop.

Quantitation for kinetochore fluorescence intensities was done as described (Hoffman et al., 2001). To reduce influences of quenching and different spatial distribution, only kinetochores showing brighter staining than most of the remaining ones were quantitated in each cell. The average intensity from control cells was set at 10, whereas that of experimental cells was made proportional to this value to obtain the relative intensity. Statistic data were obtained in a blind manner whenever possible and presented as mean ± SEM.

Flow Cytometry

Nudel modulates kinetochore dynamics at the kinetochore. Our results provide initial insights into regulation of dynein/dynactin-dependent mechanisms balancing both types of functions. Nevertheless, little is known about mechanisms balancing both types of functions.

Nudel Modulates Kinetochore Dynein

Cell Culture and Transfection

HEK293T cells were cultured as described (Li et al., 2004). For protein overexpression, cells were transfected for 48 h at transfection method. Transfection for RNAi was carried out in 35-mm dishes using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Deletion of mitosin by RNAi was as described (Yang et al., 2005). To test specificity of RNAi constructs, 4 μg of pTER-derived plasmid was transfected with 0.4 μg of pEeGFP-Nudel or pEeGFP-Nudel per dish for 48 h. To repress endogenous proteins, cells were transfected with 4 μg of an RNAi construct for 72 h. For the second round of transfection in the case of Nudel RNAi, cells transfected for 72 h were transfected into 60-mm dishes for 24 h and transfected again with 8 μg of pTER-derived plasmid for an additional 72 h. For live cell microscopy, 0.04 μg of pEeGFP-H2B was cotransfected at the second round.
RESULTS

Nudel Associates with Kinetochores after Nuclear Envelope Breakdown

We have previously shown that human Nudel is important for dynein-mediated poleward transport of outer kinetochore proteins and thus speculated its kinetochore localization (Yan et al., 2003). Although cells fixed with methanol failed to show detectable kinetochore staining of Nudel (Yan et al., 2003), formaldehyde fixation, which has been successfully used for detection of many kinetochore proteins (Earnshaw and Rattner, 1991; Chan et al., 2000; Howell et al., 2001), enabled the detection of centromeric staining with a rabbit anti-Nudel antibody (Niethammer et al., 2000) in chromosome spreads of HEK293T and other primate cells (Figure 1A; data not shown). The staining was distal to the CREST antigen but colocalized well with p150Glued and mitosin (Figure 1A; Zhu et al., 1995; Echeverri et al., 1996), suggesting a localization at the outer kinetochore domain (Cleveland et al., 2003).

We then examined patterns of kinetochore-bound Nudel after M phase progression using a chicken anti-Nudel IgY to full-length human Nudel. This antibody is specific for Nudel and hardly cross-reacts with NudE (Guo et al., 2006; also see Figure 1. Subcellular distributions of Nudel in HEK293T cells. (A) Localization of Nudel at the outer kinetochore. Mitotic chromosome spreads were prepared and immunostained to visualize the indicated proteins. Chromosome DNA was stained with DAPI. Arrowheads indicate representative kinetochores that are shown in the 4′ enlargements. (B) Distributions of Nudel in the cell cycle. Typical cells in the indicated phases are shown. Arrowheads point to typical kinetochore staining. Arrows indicate centrosomes or spindle poles. Asterisks mark the midbody. Scale bar, 10 μm.
Supplementary Figure S3A). In intact mitotic cells, kineto- 
chore Nudel staining was mainly observed in prometaphase 
(Figure 1B, panels 7–9), barely visible in metaphase (panels 
10 –12) and not seen at other stages (panels 4 – 6 and 13–18). 
In contrast, the centrosome/spindle pole localization of Nu-
del was seen throughout the cell cycle (Figure 1B; Sasaki 
et al., 2000; Yan et al., 2003). In telophase, a portion of Nudel 
was located at the midbody (Figure 1B, panels 16 –18). Thus, 
kinetochore Nudel emerges soon after nuclear envelope 
breakdown (NEBD) and seems sensitive to MT attachment 
(Figure 1B). The spatiotemporal dynamics of Nudel resem-
bles those of Lis1, dynein, dynactin, and CLIP-170 (Echeverri 
et al., 1996; Faulkner et al., 2000; King et al., 2000; Coquelle 
et al., 2002; Tai et al., 2002), suggesting a functional connection 
among these proteins.

Kinetochore Localization of Nudel Does Not Strongly 
Depend on Dynactin/Dynein

Because kinetochore localizations of dynein, Lis1, and CLIP-
170 depend on dynactin (Echeverri et al., 1996; Coquelle 
et al., 2002; Tai et al., 2002), we examined whether this was also 
true for Nudel. To examine whether Nudel also binds kinetochores 
after p50 overexpression or ZW10 depletion. HEK293T cells 
were transfected with pEGFP-p50 (A), pTER-Luci (B and C, panels 1–4), or 
pTER-ZWi (B and C, panels 5–8) and treated with nocodazole before fixation. Arrowheads indicate representative kinetochores. Quantita-
tion results are shown on the right. Total n is listed beside each pair of histograms. Scale bar, 10 μm. (A) Typical mitotic cells overex-
pressing GFP-p50. Panels 1–4 also contain an untransfected cell as a control. (B and C) Typ-
ical control (panels 1–4) or ZW10-depleted (panels 5–8) mitotic cells.

Figure 2. Nudel still binds kinetochores after p50 overexpression or ZW10 depletion. HEK293T cells were transfected with pEGFP-
p50 (A), pTER-Luci (B and C, panels 1–4), or pTER-ZWi (B and C, panels 5–8) and treated with nocodazole before fixation. Arrowheads indicate representative kinetochores. Quantita-
tion results are shown on the right. Total n is listed beside each pair of histograms. Scale bar, 10 μm. (A) Typical mitotic cells overex-
pressing GFP-p50. Panels 1–4 also contain an untransfected cell as a control. (B and C) Typ-
ical control (panels 1–4) or ZW10-depleted (panels 5–8) mitotic cells.

To determine whether Nudel was also dispensable, a Lis1-bind-
ing–defective mutant, NudelN20 (Yan et al., 2003), was over-
expressed and found to exhibit kinetochore localization 
(Supplementary Figure S1A). Furthermore, overexpression of 
GFP-Lis1N, which dislocates endogenous Lis1 from the 
kinetochore (Tai et al., 2002), had little effect on Nudel (Sup-
plementary Figure S1B).

Because dynein is recruited to the kinetochore by ZW10 
(Starr et al., 1998; Karess, 2005), we further explored whether 
silencing ZW10 expression could affect Nudel. The RNAi 
construct pTER-ZWi repressed exogenous ZW10 by 98.2 ± 
0.4% on immunoblot after cotransfection with a GFP-ZW10– 
expressing plasmid (Wang et al., 2004) for 48 h (Supplemen-
tary Figure S2). After its transfection for 72 h, kinetochore-
associated ZW10 was reduced by more than 99.5% on 
average, compared with control cells (Figure 2, B and C). In 
these ZW10-depleted cells, p150glued was indeed largely 
diminished (by 92.5%) from the kinetochore (Figure 2B; Starr 
et al., 1998). Interestingly, kinetochore-associated ZW10 was 
reduced by ~50% on average (Figure 2C). Mitosin also 
showed a similar reduction (by 46.3%; Figure 2C). Therefore, 
ZW10 is important for kinetochore localization of both 
Nudel and mitosin.

Kinetochore Localization of Nudel Is Targeted Mostly by Mitosin

We have previously identified Nudel as a novel mitosin-
associated protein in yeast two-hybrid screen (Yan et al., 
2003; Ma et al., 2006). Because mitosin (also named CENP-F) 
is seen at the kinetochore in prophase (Liao et al., 1995; Zhu 
et al., 1995) when Nudel was still outside the nucleus (Figure 
1B; Yan et al., 2003), we reasoned that Nudel might be
recruited to kinetochores by mitosin after NEBD at prometaphase. Consistent with this idea, depleting mitosin by RNAi severely inhibited Nudel localization at the kinetochore in nocodazole-treated cells (Figure 3A, panels 4–6). Quantitative analyses indicated that, when kinetochore mitosin was reduced by 99.0 ± 0.3% on average (n = 157) compared with control cells, kinetochore Nudel was reduced by 77.6 ± 1.8%. In contrast, CREST staining was virtually not affected (Figure 3A). We have previously shown that kinetochore localization of dynactin is not affected by mitosin depletion in the presence of nocodazole (Yang et al., 2005). As indicated by DIC staining (Figure 3A, panels 7–10), dynein was not affected, either.

To further assess whether kinetochore assembly of Nudel depended on its association with mitosin, we examined localization of Nudel mutant incapable of binding mitosin. We have previously narrowed down the mitosin-binding region of Nudel to residues 192–323 using yeast two-hybrid assays (Ma et al., 2006). We thus reasoned that Nudel\textsuperscript{C36}, a mutant lacking residues 256–291 (Liang et al., 2004), might not bind mitosin (Figure 3B). To test this, coimmunoprecipitation was performed. Because both proteins might preferentially interact in mitosis, HEK293T cells overexpressing the indicated proteins (lanes 2–4) were treated with nocodazole (Noc, 0.4 µg/ml) for 16 h to enrich M phase populations and subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-FLAG antibody-conjugated resin (lanes 5–7). Proteins were separated with 3–12% gradient SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-GFP (top panel) or anti-FLAG (bottom panel) antibodies. The top band of GFP-tagged Nudel or Nudel\textsuperscript{C36} seen in nocodazole-treated cells (arrowhead) was a phosphorylated form. (D) Kinetochore localization of GFP-tagged Nudel but not Nudel\textsuperscript{C36}. Arrows, spindle poles; arrowheads, typical kinetochores. Scale bar, 10 µm.

Figure 3. Mitosin recruits Nudel to the kinetochore. (A) Kinetochore staining of typical cells transfected with pBS/U6 (panels 1–3) or pBS/U6/Mi-1 (panels 4–10). Cells were treated with nocodazole before fixation. Quantitation results for kinetochore intensity are shown on the right. n = 157. (B) Diagrams of Nudel and Nudel\textsuperscript{C36}. (C) Coimmunoprecipitation of GFP-tagged Nudel, but not Nudel\textsuperscript{C36}, with FLAG-mitosin. Cells overexpressing the indicated proteins (lanes 2–4) were treated with nocodazole (Noc, 0.4 µg/ml) for 16 h to enrich M phase populations and subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with anti-FLAG antibody-conjugated resin (lanes 5–7). Proteins were separated with 3–12% gradient SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-GFP (top panel) or anti-FLAG (bottom panel) antibodies. The top band of GFP-tagged Nudel or Nudel\textsuperscript{C36} seen in nocodazole-treated cells (arrowhead) was a phosphorylated form. (D) Kinetochore localization of GFP-tagged Nudel but not Nudel\textsuperscript{C36}. Arrows, spindle poles; arrowheads, typical kinetochores. Scale bar, 10 µm.
though Nudel fails to bind both mitosin (Figure 3C) and dynein (Liang et al., 2004), dynein is not important for kinetochore localization of Nudel (Figure 2). We thus conclude that Nudel binds kinetochores through mitosin. We also noticed that C36-positive cells were frequently seen in a prometaphase-like stage with rather dispersed chromosomes (Figure 3D, panels 4–6), suggesting defects in chromosome congression.

**Nudel Stabilizes Kinetochore Association of Dynactin**

We have previously shown that mitosin stabilizes kinetochore dynein/dynactin against MT-dependent stripping and speculated that Nudel may link mitosin to dynein (Yang et al., 2005). If so, depletion of Nudel would increase the stripping of dynein/dynactin. To test this, vector-based RNAi was used to knock down Nudel expression. The RNAi construct, pTER-Nudi, is highly specific for Nudel upon transfection, with little influence on NudE (Supplementary Figure S3B; Guo et al., 2006). Immunoblotting showed that a single transfection with pTER-Nudi typically repressed endogenous Nudel in HEK293T cells by 72% at 72 h, whereas a second round of transfection (see Materials and Methods) reduced Nudel by 96% without influencing NudE, DIC, p150<sup>glued</sup>, p50<sup>dynamitin</sup>, Lis1, or CLIP-170 (Supplementary Figure S3B).

We then compared kinetochore localization of dynactin in HEK293T cells transfected with either pTER-Nudi or pTER for 72 h. We found that, compared with control cells, kinetochore p150<sup>glued</sup> was almost undetectable in early prometaphase upon Nudel depletion (Figure 4, A and C). Nevertheless, it became obvious after nocodazole treatment for 1 h, despite a reduction by 57% on average compared with control cells (Figure 4, B and C). Therefore, although Nudel RNAi reduces kinetochore dynactin in the absence of MTs, the presence of MTs indeed induces a further reduction.

**Kinetochore Localizations of Dynein, Lis1, and CLIP-170 Are Diminished upon Nudel RNAi**

The reduced localization of dynactin in nocodazole-treated cells lacking Nudel (Figure 4, B and C) suggests involvement of Nudel in kinetochore assembly of dynein/dynactin. To test whether the partial reduction was due to incomplete Nudel depletion, we further examined cells subjected to two rounds of pTER-Nudi transfection for better knockdown of Nudel (Supplementary Figure S3B). Kinetochore p150<sup>glued</sup> in such cells was reduced by 49% on average (Figure 5, A and E), indicating that Nudel is important but not essential for the localization of dynactin. Dynein, Lis1, and CLIP-170 were also progressively reduced at the kinetochore (Figure 5, B–E). ZW10, Rod, CENP-E, BubR1, and mitosin, however, remained unchanged (Figure 5E). Similar results were obtained upon GFP-Nudel<sup>C36</sup> overexpression (Supplementary Figure S4), possibly due to its dominant-negative effect on endogenous Nudel.

**Nudel Is Required for Dynein-mediated Kinetochore Protein Transport**

We have previously shown that overexpression of Nudel<sup>N20</sup>, a Lis1-binding–defective mutant, suppresses dynein-dependent transport of Bub1 and mitosin from kinetochores to spindle poles (Yan et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2003). Importance of the Nudel-DHC interaction, however, was not assessed. Whether the suppression of transport was due to a collective effect on both endogenous Nudel and NudE was not clarified. We thus examined the influence of GFP-Nudel<sup>C36</sup> overexpression or Nudel depletion on kinetochore...
protein transport using ATP inhibitor assay, in which dissociations of dynein and its cargos from the spindle poles are blocked upon azide treatment so that proteins transported to poles are accumulated there over time (Howell et al., 2001). According to studies in Drosophila, poleward transport of the Rod/ZW10 complex is mediated by dynein as well (Williams et al., 1996; Wojcik et al., 2001; Karess, 2005). Consistently, both GFP-Nudel and Rod became accumulated at poles (Figure 6A) after azide treatment. In C36-positive cells, however, the polar accumulation was not obvious (Figure 6A). ZW10, Mad2, and CENP-E also failed to accumulate at poles (data not shown). Similarly, poleward transport of p150glued and Rod was repressed in Nudel-depleted cells (Figure 6B). For convenience, a membrane-localized GFP, GFP-F (Jiang and Hunter, 1998), was used as a transfection marker in the RNAi experiments (Figure 6B).

To clarify whether the defect in poleward transport of kinetochore proteins was due to disruption of the MT-kinetochore interaction or the spindle organization, cells were labeled for MTs and the CREST antigen. When cells in late prometaphase containing bipolar spindles were examined, MT-kinetochore associations were seen regardless of NudelC36 overexpression or Nudel depletion (Figure 6C). Therefore, Nudel is indeed essential for dynein-mediated transport of proteins from kinetochores to spindle poles.

Because mitosin depletion markedly reduced kinetochore-associated Nudel (Figure 3A), we also examined whether this led to inactivation of dynein. As shown in Figure 6D, in mitosin-depleted cells, p150glued still accumulated to poles in a way indistinguishable from control cells. Similar results were seen for BubR1 and CENP-E (data not shown). Therefore, unlike Nudel depletion, mitosin depletion does not abolish dynein-mediated kinetochore protein transport.

**Nudel Is Critical for M Phase Progression**

We finally investigated whether interruption of Nudel function affected M phase progression. Flow cytometry indicated that, compared with GFP-NudelN20/C36, a double mutant with little effect on dynein in membrane trafficking (Liang et al., 2004), overexpression of GFP-NudelC36 induced a marked G2/M accumulation (Figure 7A). Time-lapse microscopy further revealed a mitotic block before metaphase upon overexpression of either GFP-tagged NudelN20 or NudelC36 (Figure 7B). When randomly picked and imaged, most mitotic cells overexpressing Nudel (21/24) or NudelN20/C36 (13/15) initiated anaphase in 60 min (Figure 7B). In contrast, anaphase onset was not seen in most cells overexpressing NudelN20 (14/20) or NudelC36 (20/23) when recording was terminated at 120 min (Figure 7B).

---

**Figure 6.** Nudel is crucial for poleward transport of kinetochore proteins. (A and B) NudelC36 overexpression or Nudel depletion represses poleward kinetochore protein transport. Mitotic HEK293T cells were subjected to ATP inhibitor assays (Howell et al., 2001) and immunostained for Rod or p150glued. For convenience, GFP-F was used as a transfection marker for mock-depleted (control) or Nudel-depleted (Nudel RNAi) cells (Liang et al., 2004). A typical late prometaphase cell is shown. (C) Existence of MT-kinetochore attachment in late prometaphase cells overexpressing NudelC36 or lacking Nudel. A representative optical section is shown. Insets are 2′ enlargements in which MT staining is enhanced digitally for better views of kinetochore fibers. (D) Mitosin-depletion does not inhibit poleward kinetochore protein transport. Cells were immunostained for mitosin and p150glued after ATP inhibitor assays. Concaved arrows, spindle poles. Scale bar, 10 μm.
For a clear view of chromosome behavior in live cells, H2B-GFP was used as a chromosome marker (Kanda et al., 1998). Similar to the results in Figure 7B, early mitotic cells overexpressing RFP-Nudel showed normal congression and started anaphase within 60 min (n = 12; Figure 7C and Supplementary Video 1). In contrast, RFP-Nudel C36–positive cells (10/11) usually failed to progress from prometaphase to metaphase in 3 h (Figure 7C and Supplementary Video 2), though transient, partial congression was observed (Figure 7C, arrows). Therefore, the mitotic block was attributed to defects that hindered stable chromosome alignment.

Similar mitotic defect was also observed in Nudel-depleted cells cotransfected to express H2B-GFP. Although most control cells in early M phase (24/27) initiated normal congression and started anaphase within 60 min (n = 12; Figure 7D and Supplementary Video 3), most mitotic cells transfected with pTER-Nudi (21/23) failed to progress into metaphase in 3 h (Figure 7D and Supplementary Video 4). Transient, partial congressions were also seen (Figure 7D, arrows). Moreover, 52.2% of cells (12/23) showed chromosome deformation typical of cell death during recording (data not shown). Flow cytometry also showed G2/M accumulation and cell death (data not shown). Cell death is not unexpected because Nudel is essential for cell viability (Sasaki et al., 2005).

Although we mainly focused on the kinetochore, inactivation of dynein has been shown to cause aberrant spindle organization as well (Echeverri et al., 1996). We then tested whether spindle organization was affected by GFP-NudelC36; 38.6 ± 1.9% of C36-positive cells (n = 204) exhibited multipolar spindles and 17.7% of them showed monopolar or asymmetrical bipolar spindles (Supplementary Figure S5A), compared with 8.5 ± 1.5 and 6.6%, respectively, for GFP-Nudel–positive ones (n = 123). The phenotypes resemble those of p50 overexpression (Echeverri et al., 1996). Moreover, NuMA was no longer solely restricted to poles in most C36-positive cells: bright speckles were seen, mostly along the spindle (Supplementary Figure S5A), further indicating inactivation of dynein (Merdes et al., 2000). In addition, 67.7 ± 3.7% of Nudel-depleted mitotic cells (n = 300) exhibited multipolar spindles (Supplementary Figure S5B). Therefore, Nudel affects multiple aspects of the mitotic apparatus. The mitotic delay (Figure 7) is thus a collective result of multiple abnormalities.

**DISCUSSION**

**Nudel Is Mainly Recruited to the Kinetochore by Mitosin after NEBD**

Our results indicate that Nudel is a transient kinetochore protein in M phase. Its kinetochore localization was readily observed with a rabbit antibody (Niethammer et al., 2000; Figure 1A) and a chicken antibody (Figure 1B, 5), and was further proved through the autofluorescence of GFP-Nudel...
Nudel emerged after NEBD and declined from metaphase (Figure 1B). Nudel localized better with dynactin and mitosin than with the CREST antigen (Figure 1A), suggesting its localization at the outer kinetochore region (Maiato et al., 2004).

We identified mitosin as the major kinetochore protein that recruits Nudel (Figure 8). The Nudel-mitosin connection was initially found in a yeast two-hybrid screen (Ma et al., 2006). Coimmunoprecipitation further suggests an interaction in vivo (Figure 3C). Depletion of mitosin indeed dramatically diminished kinetochore localization of Nudel, but not vice versa (Figures 3A and 5E). Knocking down mitosin with another RNAi construct (Kops et al., 2005) led to similar results (data not shown), thus excluding possible off-target effect. These observations are further supported by lack of GFP-NudelC36 at the kinetochore (Figure 3D). Mitosin is seen at kinetochores in prophase (Liao et al., 1995; Zhu et al., 1995), thus able to recruit Nudel after NEBD. The Rod/ZW10/Zwilch complex also recruits dynein/dynactin to kinetochores at the same stage (Karess, 2005).

How mitosin is tethered to the kinetochore is unclear. Mitosin requires Bub1, Sgf1, CENP-I, RanBP2, and Zwint-1 for its kinetochore localization (Ma et al., 2006; Varis et al., 2006). Interestingly, Zwint-1 is documented as the kinetochore anchor for the Rod/ZW10/Zwilch complex (Figure 8; Wang et al., 2004; Kops et al., 2005). The mitosin/Nudel linkage and the Rod/ZW10/Zwilch linkage are therefore both downstream of Zwint-1. Nevertheless, mitosin may not directly bind Zwint-1 because they are not copurified (Kops et al., 2005). Rather, its partial dependence on Zwint-1 (Figure 8C) implies that mitosin may be anchored by two or more proteins. This is supported by the finding that kinetochore intensity of mitosin is affected by multiple sequence regions (Zhu, 1999).

**Nudel Also Binds Kinetochore Dynactin/Dynein**

Mitosin is not the only protein responsible for kinetochore localization of Nudel because some Nudel (~22% of control cells) was still present at kinetochores in mitotic cells almost completely (99%) lacking mitosin (Figure 3A). Moreover, depletion of mitosin did not disrupt movement of dynein/dynactin from kinetochores to spindle poles (Figure 6D; Howell et al., 2001). Because such motility requires Nudel (Figure 6; Yan et al., 2003), we conclude that the small amount of kinetochore Nudel in mitosin-depleted cells (Figure 3A) is associated with dynein/dynactin. Consistently, in mitotic cells overexpressing GFP-p50, kinetochore-bound Nudel was reduced by ~14% (Figure 2A). We therefore speculate that, in intact cells, kinetochore Nudel may bind only DHC, only mitosin, or both (Figure 8).

Although Nudel also interacts with Lis1 (Sasaki et al., 2000), its kinetochore localization does not require Lis1. Overexpression of exogenous p50 or Lis1N significantly disqualified kinetochore Lis1 (Coquelle et al., 2002; Tai et al., 2002) without affecting Nudel (Figure 2A and Supplementary Figure S1). Moreover, the Lis1-binding-defective mutant NudelN20 (Yan et al., 2003) still exhibited kinetochore localization (Supplementary Figure S1).

**Nudel Modulates Kinetochore Localization of Dynactin/Dynein**

Nudel depletion significantly reduced kinetochore localization of dynactin/dynein (Figures 4 and 5). Consistent with their dependencies on dynein/dynactin for kinetochore targeting (Coquelle et al., 2002; Tai et al., 2002), Lis1 and CLIP-170 were also affected (Figure 5). Excessive NudelC36 seemed to titrate endogenous Nudel through dimer formation (Sasaki et al., 2000; Liang et al., 2004), thus resulting in similar phenotypes as Nudel depletion (Supplementary Figure S4).

Our data suggest a dual effect of Nudel on kinetochore localization of dynactin/dynein. First, Nudel is important for the ability of dynein/dynactin to bind naked kinetochores (Figures 4 and 5). Interestingly, such a role relies more on the presence of Nudel in cells than at the kinetochore, because elimination of most kinetochore Nudel through depletion of mitosin failed to influence kinetochore dynein/dynactin in nocodazole-treated cells (Figure 3A; Yang et al., 2005). How cytoplasmic Nudel plays such a role, however, is not clear. Nudel has been reported to possess oligopeptidase activity (Hayashi et al., 2005). It might affect kinetochore targeting of dynactin/dynein by degrading or modifying certain protein(s) in the cytoplasm.

Second, Nudel helps to stabilize kinetochore dynein/dynactin against MT-dependent stripping. Such an effect requires kinetochore localization of Nudel as well as its interactions with both mitosin and dynein, because depletion of either Nudel or mitosin is sufficient to markedly decrease kinetochore-bound dynactin in the presence of MTs (Figure 4; Yang et al., 2005).

**The Nudel-Mitosin Interaction May Switch Dynactin Functions at the Kinetochore**

The Nudel-mitosin interaction may provide a way to regulate the force generation and protein transport roles of kinetochore dynein (Figure 8). Our results imply that kineto-
Nudel Modulates Kinetochore Dynein

Nudel Regulates Dynein Activity and Mitotic Progression

Our previous and current data suggest that both the Nudel-Lis1 interaction and the Nudel-DHC interaction are crucial for dynein activity in poleward transport of kinetochore proteins and mitotic progression (Figures 6A and 7; Yan et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2003). The RNAi experiments (Figures 6B and 7) further strengthened importance of Nudel per se in these processes.

The mitotic block resulted from perturbation of Nudel functions (Figure 7) is likely a collective effect of multiple defects. In addition to kinetochore protein transport, Nudel is also involved in poleward transport of NuMA (Supplementary Figure S5 and Figure 8) by dynein (Merdes et al., 2000). Failure of such a transport in cells overexpressing NudelC36 is apparently correlated with aberrant spindle organization (Supplementary Figure S5; Merdes et al., 2000).

Spindle defect (Supplementary Figure S5) in turn hinder chromosome congression (Figure 7). Nudel is not essential for MT-kinetochore attachment (Figure 6), though whether it affects efficiency of the attachment remains unclear. Further studies will thus be interesting to understand its detailed roles in M phase progression.
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